BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

1ST JULY 2009

CABINET

IMPROVEMENT PLAN EXCEPTION REPORT [APRIL 2009]

Responsible Portfolio Holder	Councillor Roger Hollingworth, Portfolio Holder for the Improvement Plan
Responsible Officer	Hugh Bennett Assistant Chief Executive
Non Key Decision	

1. <u>SUMMARY</u>

1.1 To ask the Cabinet to consider the Improvement Plan Exception Report for April 2009 (Appendix 1).

2. RECOMMENDATION

- 2.1 That the Cabinet considers and approves the revisions to the Improvement Plan Exception Report attached as Appendix 1, and the corrective action being taken.
- 2.2 That the Cabinet notes that for the 93 actions highlighted for April within the plan 76.3% of the Improvement Plan is on target [green], 5.4% is one month behind [amber] and 3.2% is over one month behind [red]. The actions that have been reprogrammed with approval reflect the re-programming of the town centre actions (as a result of Parkside & Network Rail) and the re-programming of HR actions.
- 2.3 This month's performance is shown on the first page of Appendix 1.

3 BACKGROUND

3.1 July 2008 Cabinet approved the Improvement Plan 2008/09. The Improvement Plan is directly linked to the five corporate priorities and thirteen enablers identified in the Council Plan 2008/2011.

- The Improvement Plan was designed to push the Council through to a rating of Fair during 2008.
- 3.3 There were five amber and three red activities this month for the following areas of the Improvement Plan:-

¹ NB reprogrammed actions are those that have been suspended completely and those that have been moved to a later point in the year. Extended actions are listed separately are actions that are anticipated to take longer than had originally been programmed

Ref.	Council Plan Balanced Scorecard Reference	Number
CP1	Town Centre	1
CP4	Sense of Community	2
FP1	Value for Money	1
FP3	Financial Strategy	2
PR5	Planning	1
HR & OD 2	Modernisation	1

3.4 The re-programmed actions i.e. those that have been suspended or moved to a much later date in the Plan are:-

	Ref.	Action	Reason
1	1.2.2	Market Hall redevelopment	Suspended. Credit Crunch
2	1.4.2, 1.4.3, 1.4.4, 1.4.5, 1.4.6, 1.4.7	Market Hall negotiations.	Suspended. Credit Crunch.
3	2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.5	Affordable housing SPD.	Suspended. RSS2.
4	3.3.1	Service data monitoring	Suspended. Expected to be introduced Spring 2009
5	4.1.5, 4.1.13	Consultation for LSP neighbourhoods.	Re-programmed. Different approach agreed for 2009/2010.
6	4.3.11, 4.3.12, 4.3.14, 4.3.15	Review of promotional materials / Artrix	Suspended. Budget constraints / SLA delays
7	14.3.5	Submission Core Strategy	Reprogrammed. Delays with RSS review.
8	15.2.4	Review and consult on the "Bromsgrove Way".	Suspended. Existing approach acceptable. Other HR&OD priorities.
9	15.3.1, 15.3.2, 15.3.3	"Bromsgrove Way" and competency framework for non-managers.	See above.
10	16.1.9	Gap analysis	Suspended. Pending shared service business case.
11	16.3.3	Review of harassment and bullying policy	Suspended. Pending JE implementation
12	16.4.5	Parental rights guide	Re-programmed. Pending JE implementation
13	18.1.2, 18.1.3, 18.1.4	PDR process review.	Suspended. Pending JE implementation
14	18.3.1, 18.3.2, 18.3.3, 18.3.4, 18.3.5	Core competencies for all staff.	Suspended. Pending JE implementation

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 No financial implications.

5. **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

5.1 No legal implications.

6. COUNCIL OBJECTIVES

6.1 The Improvement Plan relates to all of the Council's four objectives and five priorities as per the 2008/2011 Council Plan.

7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1.1 The risks associated with the Improvement Plan are covered in the CCPP departmental risk register. Specific corporate risks are related to the Improvement Plan in the following ways:

Corporate Risk Title	Improvement Plan Reference
KO1: Effective Financial Management	FP2 – Financial Management
and Internal Control	FP3 – Financial Strategy
KO2: Effective corporate leadership	FP1 – Value for Money
	FP2 – Financial Management
	FP3 – Financial Strategy
	FP4 – Financial and Performance
	Reporting
	PR2 –Improved Governance
KO3: Effective Member / Officer	PR2 –Improved Governance
relations	HROD1 – Learning and
	Development
KO4: Effective Member / Member	PR2 –Improved Governance
relations	HROD1 – Learning and
	Development
KO5*: Full compliance with the Civil	PR1 – Customer Process
Contingencies Act and effective	
Business Continuity	DD0 0 (1.15 : 5 : 1
KO6: Maximising the benefits of	PR3 – Spatial Business Project
investment in ICT equipment and	
training	DD4 Improved Dorthorobin
KO7: Effective partnership working	PR4 – Improved Partnership Working
KO8: Effective communications	PR1 – Customer Process
(internal and external)	FP4 – Financial and Performance
(,	Reporting
	HROD 4– Performance Culture
KO9: Equalities and diversity agenda	CP3 – Customer Service
embedded across the Authority	CP4 – Sense of Community
KO10: Appropriate investment in	HROD1 – Learning and
employee development and training	Development
	HROD2 – Modernisation
	HROD4 – Performance Culture
KO11: Effective employee recruitment	HROD2 – Modernisation
and retention	

KO12: Full compliance with all Health	FP3 – Financial Strategy
and Safety legislation	PR1 – Customer Process
	HROD2 – Modernisation
KO13: Effective two tier working and	CP4 – Sense of Community
Community Engagement	PR4 – Improved Partnership
	Working
KO14: Successful implementation of	HROD2 - Modernisation
Job Evaluation	
KO15: All Council data is accurate and	FP2 – Financial Management
of high quality	FP4 – Financial and Performance
	Reporting
	PR3 – Spatial Business Project
	HROD4 – Performance culture
KO16: The Council no longer in	FP1 – Value for Money
recovery	FP4 – Financial and Performance
	Reporting
KO17: Effective Projects Management	FP1 – Value for Money
	PR3 – Spatial Business Project
KO19: Effective Business and	FP4 - Financial and Performance
Performance Management	Reporting
KO20: Effective Customer Focused	CP3 – Customer Service
Authority	CP4 – Sense of Community
	PR1 – Customer Process

^{*} KO5 and KO18 have been merged

8. **CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS**

8.1 The Improvement Plan is concerned with the strategic and operational issues that will affect the customer.

9. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

9.1 Please see sections CP3 and CP4 of the Improvement Plan

10. VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 See section FP1 of the Improvement Plan

11. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Procurement Issues: See Section FP1 of the Improvement Plan.
Personnel Implications: See Sections HROD1-HROD4 of the
Improvement Plan.
Governance/Performance Management: See Sections FP4 and PR2
of the Improvement Plan.
Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime and Disorder Act
1998: See section CP4 of the Improvement Plan
Policy: All sections of the Improvement Plan relate to this.
Environmental: See sections CP1 and PR5 of the Improvement Plan.

12. OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT

Portfolio Holder	No
Chief Executive	At CMT
Executive Director (Partnerships and Projects)	At CMT
Executive Director (Services)	At CMT
Assistant Chief Executive	Yes
Head of Service	At CMT
Head of Financial Services	At CMT
Head of Legal & Democratic Services	At CMT
Head of Organisational Development & HR	At CMT
Corporate Procurement Team	No

13. **WARDS AFFECTED**

13.1 All wards

14. **APPENDICES**

14.1 Appendix 1 Improvement Plan Exception Report April 2009

15. **BACKGROUND PAPERS:**

15.1 The full Improvement Plan for April can be found at www.bromsgrove.gov.uk under meetings Minutes and Agendas. A hard copy is also left in the Members' Room each month.

CONTACT OFFICER

Name: Rebecca Dunne

E Mail: r.dunne@bromsgrove.gov.uk

Tel: (01527) 881616

Appendix 1

PROGRESS IN 2008/09

Overall performance as at the end of April 2009, in comparison with the previous year, is as follows: -

J	luly 200	07	August 2007			September 2007			October 2007			Nove	ember i	2007	December 2007		
RED	1	0.6%	RED	1	0.7%	RED	4	2.4%	RED	3	1.8%	RED	5	3.1%	RED	3	2.0%
AMBER	5	3.2%	AMBER	13	9.2%	AMBER	11	6.6%	AMBER	16	9.6%	AMBER	11	7.0%	AMBER	17	11.6%
GREEN	152	95.6%	GREEN	126	88.7%	GREEN	149	89.2%	GREEN	142	85.0%	GREEN	138	86.9%	GREEN	121	82.3%
REPRO	1	0.6%	REPRO	2	1.4%	REPRO	3	1.8%	REPRO	6	3.6%	REPRO	5	3.1%	REPRO	6	4.1%

Jar	nuary 2	800	Feb	ruary 2	8008	Ма	arch 20	80	Α	pril 200	08	M	ay 200	8	Jι	ıne 200	28
RED	2	1.4%	RED	2	1.4%	RED	2	1.5%	RED	3	2.7%	RED	8	7.55%	RED	6	6.3%
AMBER	16	11.4%	AMBER	10	7.3%	AMBER	10	7.4%	AMBER	11	9.9%	AMBER	4	3.8%	AMBER	4	4.2%
GREEN	118	84.3%	GREEN	122	88.4%	GREEN	117	86.7%	GREEN	92	82.9%	GREEN	86	81.1%	GREEN	74	77.0%
REPRO	4	2.9%	REPRO	4	2.9%	REPRO	6	4.4%	REPRO	5	4.5%	REPRO	8	7.55%	REPRO	12	12.5%

J	uly 200	08	Aug	gust 2	800	Sept	September 2008			October 2008			mber	2008	December 2008		
RED	11	8.6%	RED	17	14.4%	RED	16	11.9%	RED	15	10.6%	RED	12	8.7%	RED	13	9.9%
AMBER	3	2.3%	AMBER	4	3.4%	AMBER	8	6.0%	AMBER	7	5.0%	AMBER	8	5.8%	AMBER	5	3.9%
GREEN	114	89.1%	GREEN	96	81.4%	GREEN	99	73.9%	GREEN	104	73.8%	GREEN	106	76.8%	GREEN	100	76.3%
REPRO	0	0%	REPRO	1	0.8%	REPRO*	11	8.2%	REPRO	15	10.6%	REPRO	12	8.7%	REPRO	13	9.9%

Jar	nuary 2	:009	Febi	ruary 2	2009	Ма	rch 20	09	April 2009		May 2009		9	June 200		09	
RED	0	0%	RED	2	1.5%	RED	3	2.9%	RED	3	3.2%	RED			RED		
AMBER	4	3.6%	AMBER	3	2.3%	AMBER	5	4.9%	AMBER	5	5.4%	AMBER			AMBER		
GREEN	95	86.4%	GREEN	112	86.2%	GREEN	80	78.5%	GREEN	71	76.3%	GREEN			GREEN		
REPRO	11	10.0%	REPRO	13	10.0%	REPRO	14	13.7%	REPRO	14	15.1%	REPRO			REPRO		

Appendix 1

Where: -

On Target or	One month	Over one	Original date of planned action Re-programmed date.*
completed	behind target	month	of planned programmed
	or less	behind target	action date.*

^{*} NB. Reprogrammed actions are both those that have been suspended completely and those that have been moved to a later point in the year. They are not actions that have been extended and they do not appear on the exception report.

Out of the total of 93 actions for April 2009, 5 actions have been extended with approval. This amounts to 5.4 percent of the original actions scheduled for this month. Extended actions are shown with hatched marking and extend the timescale of a current or ongoing action on the Improvement Plan.

An Exception Report detailing corrective actions follows:

Ref	April 2009 Action		Cole	our	Со	rrecti	ive A	ction)						Who	Original Date	Revised Date		
1.7.3					Network Rail require the scheme to be a permitted development. QC advice has been provided that this may not be possible. Meeting held with Network Rail. Separate QC advice now being sought by Network Rail to back their desire to treat the scheme as a permitted development.											Apr 09	TBC		
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective Action			
41.7	Agree funding and pla	nning pe	rmis	sion	for t	rain s	statio	n rec	devel	opm	ent, v	vith 1	rans	port	links to t	the town cer	ntre		
1.7.3	Obtain planning permission.	НВ													has subn Advice to Formal a Secretary July 2009 programmento the 2 this fundi Network Scheme. Network permitted been pro Network possible. Rail. Sepsought by	ional Transport nitted its Regior the Departmen pproval is now by of State and is 2. All the dates me can now be 2009/2010 plan ng approval expected approval expected to the Corail that this manager with the corate QC advices the schement.	nal Funding nt of Transport. required by the s expected in in the existing re-programmed on the basis of pected in July. to approve the ed in May, but scheme to be a QC advice has uncil (and onto hay not be with Network be now being to back their		

Ref	April 2009 Action	on	Col	our	Со	rrect	ive A	ction)						Who	Original Date	Revised Date
4.1.1 0	Go live							d with				ord Me	mbers	s in	НВ	Apr 09	TBC
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective A	Action
4.1	Neighbourhood	d management															
4.1.10	Go live HB														Charford	planned with F Members in Ju uly Cabinet.	lagley and ne. Business

CP4:	Sense of Commi	unity															
Ref	April 2009 Action	1 2009 Action Colour Corrective Action								Who	Original Date	Revised Date					
4.3.1	Establish monitoring & me arrangements set out in the with the Artrix.			by t	rk in the Opersions in the Opersion in the Oper	eratin	g Tru	st of t	he Ar	trix ov	er so	me of	the	JG	Jul-08	Jul-09	
Ref.	Action	Lead	July Aug.		Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective	Action
4.3	Popularity of events p	rogramr	ne	1	1	I			I								
4.3.13	Establish monitoring & meeting arrangements set out in the SLA with the Artrix.	JG													operating proposed revised S complete to identiff meeting to agree discussion SLA to b	g trust rejected by BDC and I SLA. Officers hed the review of y discrepancy with the portfolour position pron on this matter.	have proposed a ave now f the documents

FP1	: Value for Money																			
Ref	April 2009 Action	ril 2009 Action Cold						Colour Corrective Action												
6.2.6 Ref.	Quarterly report to PMB to assess the effectiveness of the alternative methods of service delivery e.gtransfer to leisure trust, payroll service provision				be p	to dela repare Leisur	d whe	n serv	ice ha	s run i		JLP	Apr-09	Sep-09						
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective Action				
6.2	Alternative methods of	fservice	deli	very,	to in	clud	e rev	isitin	g the	sha	red s	ervic	es/ j	oint v	working	agenda				
6.2.6	Quarterly report to PMB to assess the effectiveness of the alternative methods of service delivery e.gtransfer to leisure trust, payroll service provision													on effect service the Leisure the by trust. be suspe	tiveness to be p nas run for 12 n trust no longer t This action the	nonths (Sept 09). to be delivered erefore needs to rogrammed to the				

Ref	April 2009 Action	Col	our	Со	rrect	ive A	ction	1		Who	Original Date	Revised Date					
8.1.4	Market test treasury adviso ensure VFM achieved			prov	iders a	and the	e curre	ent ecc	nomic	clima	curren	nvestn	nents	JLP	Apr-09	May-09	
Ref.	Action	Load								Mar. Apr.	May	June		Corrective Action			
8.1	Treasury Managemer	nt			ı				ı					1	L		
8.1.4	Market test treasury advisors to ensure VFM achieved	JLP													current s economi been age to April 1 to be sus	service provider ic climate of inv reed to defer th IO. This action	ort received from s and the current estments it has e market testing therefore needs -programmed to than.

Ref	April 2009 Action		Col	our	Со	rrecti	ive A	ction	1						Who	Original Date	Revised Date			
8.2.3	Commitment accounting reports available for budget holders				end	repor and ir	nclude	ed in f			/ear	JLP	Sep-08	Jun-09						
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective Action				
8.2	Implement the Purchas	se Ordei	ring F	Proce	essin	g Sys	stem								l					
8.2.3	Commitment accounting reports available for budget	JLP														o delays in final implementation these for commitments will be in place ar end (April 09) and will be led in 1 st quarter monitoring ments (end June 2009).				

PR5	: Planning																		
Ref	April 2009 Action		Col	our	Cor	recti	ve A	ction							Who	Original Date	Revised Date		
14.5. 1	weeks					ruitme ble thi					r to thi d.	is role	e will		DH	Dec 08	June 09		
Ref.		Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June	Corrective Action				
14.5	Maintain Greenbelt thr	ough en	force	emen	t and	l uph	eld a	ppea	als										
14.5.1	Maintain training programme every 12 weeks	DH													Recruitm role will e – Intervie	nent of Principal enable this area ews have taken	to be addressed		

Ref	April 2009 Action	•						ction							Who	Original Date	Revised Date			
16.1. 5	Workforce skills audit				exte infoi appi	rnal a matio roach,	dvice n. W whic) has e are h nee	not pi curre ds ca	oduce ntly re reful o	ed the e-think consid	e requaing of leration		nin	ΗP	Mar 09	TBC			
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective Action				
16.1	Workforce Planning	<u> </u>		I			l	l	l	l										
16.1.5	Workforce skills audit													Currently	re-thinking app					